Versality in mirror symmetry

Nick Sheridan

July 27, 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Introduction

- Aim: prove Kontsevich's homological mirror symmetry (HMS) conjecture.
- The following strategy for proving HMS is due to Seidel:
 - 1. Prove it in the large volume/complex structure limit;
 - 2. Extend to nearby points using a 'versality theorem'.
- We prove a general versality theorem of this type.
- (with Ivan Smith) Applications include proofs of HMS for:
 - All Greene–Plesser mirrors;
 - Related examples, including Kuznetsov's 'K3 category of the cubic fourfold' and the (rigid) 'Z-manifold'.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Homological mirror symmetry

- ▶ Let *X* and *Y* be mirror compact Calabi–Yaus.
- The mirror map is an isomorphism¹

$$\Psi: \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{K\"ah}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{cpx}}(Y).$$

HMS predicts

$$D^bFuk(X_q)\simeq D^bCoh(Y_{\Psi(q)})$$

for all $q \in \mathcal{M}_{K\ddot{a}h}(X)$.

 ${}^{1}\mathcal{M}_{K\ddot{a}h}(X) := K\ddot{a}hler moduli space of X, with <math>T\mathcal{M}_{K\ddot{a}h}(X) \cong H^{1,1}(X);$ $\mathcal{M}_{cpx}(Y) := complex moduli space of Y, with <math>T\mathcal{M}_{cpx}(Y) \cong H^{1}(TY).$

Suppose we know HMS at one point in the moduli space:

$$D^bFuk(X_q)\simeq D^bCoh(Y_p).$$

Then we have:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Suppose we know HMS at one point in the moduli space:

$$D^bFuk(X_q)\simeq D^bCoh(Y_p).$$

Then we have:



Suppose we know HMS at one point in the moduli space:

$$D^bFuk(X_q)\simeq D^bCoh(Y_p).$$

Then we have:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Versality: these are isos.

Suppose we know HMS at one point in the moduli space:

$$D^bFuk(X_q)\simeq D^bCoh(Y_p).$$

Then we have:



- Versality: these are isos.
- ► Implies that HMS holds at all nearby points.

Large complex structure limit (LCSL)

- Compactify *M*_{cpx}(*Y*) ⊂ *M*_{cpx}(*Y*) by allowing some degenerate varieties.
- $p_{LCSL} \in \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{cpx}(Y)$ is a 0-stratum.
- $Y_{p_{LCSL}}$ is 'maximally degenerate'.





 $Y_{p_{LCSL}} = \{z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^3.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Large volume limit (LVL)

- Choose $D \subset X$ a normal-crossings divisor.
- Compactify *M*_{Käh}(X) ⊂ *M*_{Käh}(X)_D by allowing [ω] → +∞ along components of *D*.
- $q_{LVL} \in \partial \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{Kah}(X)_D$ is the 0-stratum: $[\omega] = +\infty$ along D.
- $X_{q_{LVL}}$ corresponds to $X \setminus D$.



Example: elliptic curves





 $X \setminus D$ is a punctured elliptic curve.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Perf}(Y_{p_{LCSL}}) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow} D^b \operatorname{Fuk}(X \setminus D) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ D^b \operatorname{Coh}(Y_{p_{LCSL}}) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow} D^b W \operatorname{Fuk}(X \setminus D) \end{array}$$

Example: elliptic curves





 $X \setminus D$ is a punctured elliptic curve.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

$$\begin{array}{c} Perf(Y_{p_{LCSL}}) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow} D^b Fuk(X \setminus D) \\ & & \downarrow \\ D^b Coh(Y_{p_{LCSL}}) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow} D^b WFuk(X \setminus D) \end{array}$$

Strategy (Seidel): use versality at LCSL/LVL to prove HMS

• It is easier to compute $D^bFuk(X \setminus D)$ than $D^bFuk(X)$:

- Finite number of computations;
- Seidel's Lefschetz fibration techniques;
- Lagrangian skeleta techniques (Ganatra–Pardon–Shende);
- Behaves nicely under taking covers, products.
- Hence easier to prove HMS 'at the limit':

$$Perf(Y_{p_{LCSL}}) \simeq D^bFuk(X \setminus D).$$

- Hope a general versality result will allow us to conclude HMS over a formal neighbourhood of the limit.
- Problem: extra component of deformation space!

LCSL deformation space



 p_{LCSL}

 $Y_{p_{\text{LCSL}}}=\{z_1z_2z_3z_4=0\}\subset \mathbb{CP}^3.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

LCSL deformation space





 $Y_{p_{\text{LCSL}}}=\{z_1z_2z_3z_4=0\}\subset \mathbb{CP}^3.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

LCSL deformation space



Deform by cutting out $\{z_4 = 0\}$ and gluing it back in with a twist.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

LVL deformation space



 q_{LVL}



LVL deformation space



LVL deformation space



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Deformation theory via L_{∞} algebras

► Recall that an L_∞ algebra (or 'strong homotopy Lie algebra') is a pair g := (V, ℓ^j), where

$$\ell^{j} : \wedge^{j} V \to V[2-j]$$
 satisfy
 $\sum_{\sigma} \pm \ell^{*}(\ell^{*}(v_{\sigma(1)},\ldots),v_{\sigma(i)},\ldots,v_{\sigma(j)}) = 0.$

One defines the Maurer–Cartan space:

$$MC(\mathfrak{g}) := \left\{ v \in V_1 : \sum_j \frac{1}{j!} \ell^j(v, \ldots, v) = 0 \right\} / \sim,$$

where \sim denotes 'gauge equivalence'.

In many deformation problems, the space of deformations up to equivalence is equal to the Maurer–Cartan space of some L_∞ algebra.



• "Let $CC^*(C)$ = Hochschild cochains on category C. Then

$$\{\text{Def. of } C\} / \sim \cong MC(CC^*(C)).$$

• There is an L_{∞} morphism

$$CO: SC^*(X) \dashrightarrow CC^*(D^bFuk(X)),$$

in good situations a *quasi-isomorphism* \Rightarrow same *MC*.

► Here SC*(X) is the Floer homology: Morse homology of the free loop space of X w.r.t. the action functional.

Deformations of $D^bFuk(X_q) \cong MC(SC^*(X_q)))$

► If X is compact, SC*(Xq) 'localizes at constant loops':

 $SC^*(X_q) \simeq H^*(X),$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・ 日本・ のへの

and the L_{∞} structure on the RHS is trivial.

- ► Therefore the space of deformations of D^bFuk(X_q) is locally isomorphic to H²(X).
- If $H^2(X) \cong H^{1,1}(X)$, we have versality!

$SC^*(X \setminus D)$

- X_{q_{LVL}} ~ X \ D is not compact: SC*(X \ D) is the Floer homology of the free loop space with respect to the action functional which is *deformed by a Hamiltonian H which goes to* +∞ *near D* (Floer–Hofer).
- ► 0 → $C^*(X \setminus D)$ → $SC^*(X \setminus D)$ → $SC^*_+(X \setminus D)$ → 0.
- C^{*}(X \ D) comes from constant loops; SC^{*}₊(X \ D) comes from loops 'at infinity' (i.e., linking D).



Deformations of $D^bFuk(X \setminus D) \ (\cong MC(SC^*(X \setminus D)))$

 $\ldots \to H^2(X \setminus D) \to SH^2(X \setminus D) \to SH^2(X \setminus D) \to \ldots$

- Deforming $X \setminus D$ by a symplectic form ω corresponds to $[\omega] \in H^2(X \setminus D)$.
- ▶ If X Calabi–Yau, D 'large enough', then

 $SH^2_+(X \setminus D) \cong H^2(X, X \setminus D)$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・ 日本・ のへの

(loop around D_i corresponds to $PD(D_i)$).

Deforming X \ D by compactifying with Kähler form ω corresponds to [ω] ∈ H²(X, X \ D) ≅ SH²₊(X \ D).

Picture of $MC(SC^*(X \setminus D))$



What we need: $H^2(X \setminus D) = 0$.

Getting rid of the extra deformations

- Suppose $G \oplus (X, D)$ and $H^2(X \setminus D)^G = 0$.
- The space of deformations that respect the action of G has no extra deformations.
- ► Useful to allow G to act by anti-holomorphic involutions, which act by dualities: D^bFuk ~ D^bFuk^{op}.
- To apply to HMS, we need analogous group action on mirror: a natural duality is

$$\mathcal{D}^bCoh(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^bCoh(Y)^{op} \ \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{ee}.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Fukaya category, $Fuk(X, \omega)$

- (X, ω) a compact symplectic manifold.
- Coefficient field: $\Lambda := \mathbb{C}((t^{\mathbb{R}}))$.
- Objects: Lagrangian submanifolds $L \subset X$.
- Morphisms: $hom^*(L_0, L_1) := \Lambda \langle L_0 \cap L_1 \rangle$.
- Composition maps:

 μ^{s} : hom^{*}(L₀, L₁) $\otimes \ldots \otimes$ hom^{*}(L_{s-1}, L_s) \rightarrow hom^{*}(L₀, L_s)

count holomorphic discs $u : \mathbb{D} \to X$ weighted by $t^{\omega(u)} \in \Lambda$:



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Relative Fukaya category, Fuk(X, D)

- Let $D = \bigcup_i D_i \subset X$ be simple normal-crossings.
- Coefficient ring: let NE ⊂ H₂(X, X \ D) be the cone of all u s.t. u · E ≥ 0 for any effective ample divisor E supported on D. Define R := C[NE].
- Objects: compact exact Lagrangians $L \subset X \setminus D$;
- Composition maps: count holomorphic discs u : D → X weighted by q^[u] ∈ R.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Relationship between $Fuk(X, \omega)$ and Fuk(X, D)

- ► Think of Fuk(X, D) as a family of categories over $\overline{M}_{Kah}(X)_D := Spec(R)$.
- ► q_{LVL} ∈ M_{Käh}(X)_D is maximal ideal corresponding to vertex of NE; we have

$$Fuk(X,D)_{q_{LVL}}\simeq Fuk(X\setminus D).$$

▶ Kähler form ω with $\omega|_{X \setminus D} = d\theta$ defines map

$$egin{aligned} R o \Lambda \ q^u &\mapsto t^{\omega(u) - heta(\partial u)}, \end{aligned}$$

which we regard as a Λ -point $q_{\omega} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{Kah}(X)_D$.

There is an embedding

$$Fuk(X, D)_{q_{\omega}} \hookrightarrow Fuk(X, \omega).$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Versality theorem

Theorem (S., 2017)

Suppose that:

- G acts on (X, D) (anti-)holomorphically, and $H^2(X \setminus D)^G = 0$;
- D 'supports enough effective ample divisors';
- X is Calabi–Yau, and the map

$$CO: SH^2(X \setminus D) \rightarrow HH^2(Fuk(X \setminus D))$$

is surjective.

Then for any other deformation B of $Fuk(X \setminus D)$ over R with same first-order behaviour, respecting G-action, there exists an isomorphism $\Psi^* : R \to R$ and an A_{∞} isomorphism $Fuk(X, D) \simeq \Psi^*B$.

Application: the cubic fourfold (joint with I. Smith)

- Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface.
- There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D^bCoh(Y) \simeq \langle \mathcal{A}_Y, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2) \rangle.$$

The Kuznetsov category A_Y can be regarded as a noncommutative K3 surface.

Conjecture (Kuznetsov) Y is rational if and only if

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y} \simeq D^{b}Coh(S)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

for some K3 surface S.

Mirror to the cubic fourfold (Batyrev–Borisov)



- $\mathbb{Z}/3 \bigcirc E$ (elliptic curve).
- p_1 , p_2 , p_3 fixed points.
- $X' := E \times E/(x, y) \sim (\zeta \cdot x, \zeta^{-1} \cdot y)$ has 9 A_2 singularities.
- Resolve them to get X.

Divisors on X





▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Divisors on X



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

• Let $\{D_k\}_{k \in K}$ be the set of divisors: |K| = 24.

Monomial-divisor correspondence



Define cubic monomials (x, y)^k ∈ Λ[x₁, x₂, x₃, y₁, y₂, y₃] corresponding to each divisor D_k.

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

Homological mirror symmetry

Theorem (S.–Smith 2017)

If $[\omega] = \sum_{k \in K} \lambda_k \cdot [D_k]$ is an 'ambient' Kähler form on X, then there exist

$$oldsymbol{p}_k = t^{\lambda_k} (\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{O}(t)) \in \Lambda$$

such that the noncommutative K3 associated to

$$Y_{p} := \left\{-x_{1}x_{2}x_{3} - y_{1}y_{2}y_{3} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} p_{k} \cdot (x, y)^{k} = 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}_{\Lambda}$$

is mirror to (X, ω) : i.e.,

$$D^{\pi}Fuk(X,\omega)\simeq \mathcal{A}_{Y_{p}}.$$

Proof: first show $D^{\pi}Fuk(X \setminus D) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{Y_0}$, then extend to a formal neighbourhood by 'versality'.